



Municipality of Anchorage

Dave Bronson, Mayor
Purchasing Department

August 15, 2022

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL RFP 2022P017

Hazardous Waste Collection Program ADDENDUM NO. 2

Please make the following changes to the subject project.

1. **CHANGE:** Proposal Due Date to August 24, 2022.
2. **ADD: QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**
3. **REPLACE:** Attachment 1_Cost Proposal with Attachment 1 - Cost Proposal – Addendum No. 2.
4. **ADD:** The following attachments:
 - Attachment 3 New CTS - SWS HHW Materials Inventory _SOP_1Aug 2022
 - Attachment 4 New CTS - SWS HHW Material Storage _Floor Plan

Where any requirements of the Request for Proposal conflict with an item in an Addendum, the Addendum shall govern.

All other terms and conditions of the Request for Proposal shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

This addendum and other documents related to this project are available by visiting the Purchasing office website at:

<http://www.muni.org/Departments/purchasing/Pages/bidding.aspx>

If you have previously submitted a response to this project and wish to have it returned, please email that request to wwpur@muni.org

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Sincerely,

Chris Hunter

Chris Hunter
Deputy Purchasing Director

2022P024
Hazardous Waste Collection Program
Addendum No. 2

Questions and Answers:

Question 1: Please confirm the Hours of Operation at CTS. The website says hours are Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday – 8am – 5pm. But the RFP shows Monday to Saturday – 8am – 5pm.

Answer 1: SWS is building a new Central Transfer Station site that will operate on an extended schedule. SWS would like the proposer to price out operating the HHW at the new CTS Monday to Saturday – 8am – 5pm per RFP section 3.2.

Question 2: What are the staffing levels currently each location? Is there an expected number of personnel to be onsite each day?

Answer 2: Proposer is to list proposed staffing levels based on the estimated quantities provided in attachment 1 (cost proposal) and the reports provided in reference documents 4 and 5.

Question 3: For the home and VSQG Hazardous Waste Pickup Service, historically how many pickups are done per year?

Answer 3: In 2021, there were two (2) residential pickups and eighteen (18) VSQG pickups.

Question 4: Is the waste expected to be transported from CTS to ARL at the end of each operating day?

Answer 4: See attached HHW SOP for the new CTS facility that provides maximum quantities in the facility. Proposer can determine transportation frequency based on this SOP.

Question 5: Regarding the Solid Waste Random inspections – will the Municipality choose the random day each week? And will the contractor have any advanced notification of the day? Assuming the contractor would need 2 additional personnel on top of the personnel managing the daily HHW operations at ARL and CTS, they would need some advanced notice to schedule additional labor.

Answer 5: Contractor to choose days of week and provide staffing to accommodate. SWS may request specific inspections on occasion, with notice provided.

Question 6: Can you provide the name of the current contractor for this contract? As well as the current contract pricing?

Answer 6: US Ecology currently holds this Contract. Their contracted pricing is on a per unit basis with a maximum not to exceed yearly amount. This contract value is not specifically published in the RFP in order to encourage competitive bidding from all applicants.

2022P024
Hazardous Waste Collection Program
Addendum No. 2

Question 7: Will the Municipality be willing to grant an extension for this RFP?

Answer 7: Addendum No. 2 extends the Due Date.

Question 8: Will a DocuSign signature be sufficient to meet the signature requirements?

Answer 8: Electronic signatures are acceptable

Question 9: RFP Page 11 – 4.2 Table of Contents: “Include the RFP Evaluation Criteria.” There are two parts of the proposal titled Evaluation Criteria. Presumably, you intend for us to copy Section 5.1 Criteria into the bottom part of our Table of Contents. Is this correct?

Answer 9: In Section 4.2 we are asking that materials within the proposal are clearly identified in the Table of Contents. This will include the items in Section 4 for the proposal. Section 5 describes how the Proposals will be evaluated.

Question 10: RFP Page 14 – 4.4.1: “Describe the financial capability and stability of each firm involved in the proposal.” Presumably, this does not include subcontractors, TSDFs, and vendors. Is this correct?

Answer 10: This only applies to the “prime” contractor and any subcontractors, etc. whose business failure would result in the inability of the prime contractor to perform the Scope of Services described in the contract.

Question 11: Section 4.5 and 4.6 are missing from the RFP. Please explain.

Answer 11: Section 4.4.2 titled “Technical Proposal” is the beginning of Section 4.5 and was incorrectly formatted. Section 4.4.3 titled “Cost Proposal” is the beginning of section 4.6 and was incorrectly formatted.

Question 12: Task 5 (Girdwood Transfer Station pickup) quantifies 2080 hours for a Box Van and Driver which is contrary to the statement in paragraph 3.5 of the RFP which clearly states 8 pickups per year. Is this correct? Please explain.

Answer 12: Eight (8) pickups per year is correct. Contractor to base the cost of task 5 on this amount.

Question 13: Supplies Cost Worksheets - We question why the amount of Drums are not quantified?

Answer 13: Contractor to include the quantity of drums that they will need to complete the scope. It is not SWS’ determination for these means and methods, some contractors might need more-or-less drums depending on their plan for reuse of drums.

Question 14: We also question why 10 -gallon containers are not included at all in the list but are used in the Disposal Cost Worksheet DCW-5.

Answer 14: 10 gallon containers have been added to the cost proposal spreadsheet provided in the addendum.

2022P024
Hazardous Waste Collection Program
Addendum No. 2

Question 15: We point to paragraph 4.4.3 of the RFP which states that “Quantities are based on current typical quantities” and without the MOA stating Quantities it will be impossible for the MOA to “achieve a uniform review process and obtain the maximum degree of comparability” a phrase found in the RFP page 11 Section 4 titled Proposal and Submission Requirements.

Answer 15: Quantities listed in the supply cost worksheets are based off current typical quantities and provide an “apples to apples” basis for pricing comparison.

Question 16: Environmental Compliance Consultants, Inc. would like to request a one-week extension for the subject solicitation. We are working with our disposal vendors for pricing to provide the best available rates to the MOA. Any additional time would be appreciated.

Answer 16: Addendum No. 2 extends the Due Date.