



# Municipality of Anchorage

Dave Bronson, Mayor  
Purchasing Department

**October 14, 2022**

## **REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

**RFP 2022P045**

Transit Center Site Selection Study

### **ADDENDUM NO. 2**

Please make the following changes to the subject project.

#### **1. Questions and Answers**

**Where any requirements of the Request for Proposal conflict with an item in an Addendum, the Addendum shall govern.**

**All other terms and conditions of the Request for Proposal shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.**

This addendum and other documents related to this project are available by visiting the Purchasing office website at:

<http://www.muni.org/Departments/purchasing/Pages/bidding.aspx>

If you have previously submitted a response to this project and wish to have it returned, please email that request to [wwpur@muni.org](mailto:wwpur@muni.org)

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Sincerely,

Chris Hunter  
Deputy Purchasing Director

**Questions & Answers:**

- 1. Can the Municipality share an estimated budget or budget range for this project? This would be useful in tailoring our proposed approach.**

The PTD hasn't conducted a study like this in recent history and there is a need to conduct a thorough and well vetted study that aligns with industries best practices. The budget set aside for this is somewhat flexible. Also, the points associated with the cost criteria have been greatly reduced so that the other criteria take priority.

- 2. Does the Municipality have a sense of the timing of the ACDA redevelopment project that is motivating this study?**

The timelines and development milestones for the project have continued to slip over the past two years for a variety of reasons. Currently, construction is expected to begin in spring 2023.

- 3. What is the level and depth of outreach the Municipality would like to see on this study? For context, is the output of this study intended to be a mostly technical feasibility study of the options, informed by public input and comments? Or is the output intended to be a mostly public-facing weighting of the options at hand, with technical elements to help inform and educate on the consequences of different options?**

I would say mostly technical feasibility study of the options, informed by public input and comments.

- 4. Is there a desired or required minimum for DBE participation on bidder teams?**

DBE participation is not required.

- 5. Can the Municipality share any more details on the level of detail in the site layouts? Page 1 of the RFP uses the word "conceptual design". The specification for site layouts in 3.3.2 says "layouts will be detailed only to sketch level". Is there a specific set or type of drawing(s) the Municipality is expecting?**

The drawings should show a conceptual design and layout of a site with enough detail to acknowledge that it is conceptually achievable in the space available.